UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/679,413	10/07/2003	Henry M. Israel	1355HEN-US	3470
7590 01/09/2007 Dekel Patent Ltd.			EXAMINER	
Beit HaRofim Room 27 18 Menuha VeNahala Street			WILLSE, DAVID H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Rehovot, ISRAEL		3738		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/09/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer.	10/679,413	ISRAEL, HENRY M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dave Willse	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oc	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 October 2006</u> .					
_						
	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
· _						
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9 and 10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>October 18, 2006,</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3738

The Applicant has failed to particularly point out the support in the original disclosure for newly presented claim 12 (MPEP §§ 714.02 and 2163.06) and must do so in response to the present Office action.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Cumming, US 6,051,024. In the embodiment of Figure 15, each connecting structure is viewed as the elongate portion extending between the lens and the knob or protuberance 110 nearest the lens, with each haptic being interpreted as the elongate portion extending beyond said element 110. Said anti-dislocation knob or protuberance 110 thus extends from at least the connecting structure (column 7, lines 13-16; column 6, lines 31-36) and is operable to inhibit dislocation of the lens from the capsular bag (column 7, lines 16-18; column 6, lines 36-41; column 2, line 10 et seq.). The embodiments of Figures 22 and 26 are likewise applicable to the instant claims, with the slot-shaped openings 138 or 154 defining the boundary between the connecting structure and the haptic. Regarding claim 3, the anti-dislocation elements are tilted with respect to a lens plane after implantation (column 2, lines 21-32). Regarding claims 4 and 5, because of the flexible nature of the filament type haptic structure (column 4, lines 1-2), the anti-dislocation elements are flexibly attached to the lens, especially in comparison with the disc or plate type embodiments of Figures 22 and 26, in which the anti-dislocation elements are relatively rigidly attached to the connecting structure. Regarding claims 6, 8, and 12, under an

Application/Control Number: 10/679,413 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

alternative interpretation, an opposite pair of elements **152** (Figure 26) corresponds to the claimed haptic or haptics, with the other pair of opposite elements **152** being equated with anti-dislocation elements extending from the lens.

The Applicant's remarks have been considered and are adequately addressed in the grounds of rejection presented above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dave Willse whose telephone number is 571-272-4762 and who is generally available Monday through Thursday and often on Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott, can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Dave Willse Primary Examiner Art Unit 3738